Impact of stepwise hyperventilation on cerebral tissue oxygen saturation in anesthetized patients: a mechanistic study
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Background: While the decrease in blood carbon dioxide (CO2) secondary to hyperventilation is generally accepted to play a major role in the decrease of cerebral tissue oxygen saturation (SctO2), it remains unclear if the associated systemic hemodynamic changes are also accountable.

Methods: Twenty-six patients (American Society of Anesthesiologists I–II) undergoing nonneurosurgical procedures were anesthetized with either propofol-remifentanil (n = 13) or sevoflurane (n = 13). During a stable intraoperative period, ventilation was adjusted stepwise from hypoventilation to hyperventilation to achieve a progressive change in end-tidal CO2 (ETCO2) from 55 to 25 mmHg. Minute ventilation, SctO2, ETCO2, mean arterial pressure (MAP), and cardiac output (CO) were recorded.

Results: Hyperventilation led to a SctO2 decrease from 78 ± 4% to 69 ± 5% (Δ = −9 ± 4%, P < 0.001) in the propofol-remifentanil group and from 81 ± 5% to 71 ± 7% (Δ = −10 ± 3%, P < 0.001) in the sevoflurane group. The decreases in SctO2 were not statistically different between these two groups (P = 0.5). SctO2 correlated significantly with ETCO2 in both groups (P < 0.001). SctO2 also correlated significantly with MAP (P < 0.001) and CO (P < 0.001) during propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane (P = 0.4 and 0.5), anesthesia.

Conclusion: The main mechanism responsible for the hyperventilation-induced decrease in SctO2 is hypocapnia during both propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane anesthesia. Hyperventilation-associated increase in MAP and decrease in CO during propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane, anesthesia may also contribute to the decrease in SctO2 but to a much smaller degree.

Accepted for publication 3 December 2012

© 2013 The Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica Foundation
Published by Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
agents possess intrinsic cerebral vasodilatory effect while intravenous agents do not. In this study, it was our hypothesis that the decrease in SctO₂ induced by stepwise hyperventilation is due to both changes in blood CO₂ level and changes in systemic hemodynamics. To test this hypothesis, we carried out this mechanistic study in which SctO₂, end-tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂), mean arterial pressure (MAP), and CO were continuously and simultaneously measured throughout a stepwise increase in minute ventilation from hypoventilation (ETCO₂ = 55 mmHg) to hyperventilation (ETCO₂ = 25 mmHg) in patients anesthetized with either propofol-remifentanil or sevoflurane.

**Methods**

The study was approved by the Institutional Research Board at the University of California, Irvine, California, USA. Nonneurosurgical adult patients with an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I–II were recruited. Informed written consents for research were obtained. Exclusion criteria were: neurological disease, symptomatic cardiac and respiratory diseases, systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, and diabetes mellitus.

A quantitative frequency-domain NIRS device, Oxiplex TS cerebral oximeter (ISS Inc., Champaign, IL, USA), was used to measure SctO₂. The specifics of this technology have been previously reported. Minute ventilation and ETCO₂ were determined using the built-in spirometry and gas analyzer in the anesthesia machine (Aisys, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA). CO was monitored at the external ear canal level via a 20-gauge catheter placed in radial artery and connected to an arterial pressure transduction system (Vigileo-FloTrac, Edwards LifeSciences, Irvine, CA, USA). MAP was monitored using esophageal Doppler (CardioQ, Deltex Medical, Chichester, West Sussex, UK). The depth of anesthesia was monitored via the bispectral index (BIS) monitor (S/5™ M-BIS, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA).

Following anesthesia induction with fentanyl (1.5–2 mcg/kg) and propofol (2–3 mcg/kg), the patient was intubated, and anesthesia was maintained with either propofol-remifentanil or sevoflurane at the discretion of the attending anesthesiologist. The level of anesthesia was adjusted to maintain a BIS value between 30 and 50, and no further adjustment occurred during the formal study period. Muscle relaxation was maintained with cisatracurium. Pressure-controlled ventilation was used with the inspired oxygen percentage of 50% in an air-oxygen mixture, inspiratory to expiratory time ratio of 1:2, and positive end-expiratory pressure set to zero. During a stable intraoperative period, the formal study began with hypoventilation (ventilation pressure set between 8 and 12 cmH₂O and the respiratory rate set between 4 and 6 breaths per minute) to achieve a starting ETCO₂ of 55 mmHg. Once this end-point of hypoventilation was achieved and stabilized for 10 min, the first ventilatory adjustment was made by increasing the ventilation pressure 2 cmH₂O and respiratory rate one breath per minute. The same adjustment was repeated every 5 min to gradually increase minute ventilation until the end-point of hyperventilation, an ETCO₂ of 25 mmHg, was reached. Minute ventilation, ETCO₂, SctO₂, MAP, CO, BIS, cerebral tissue oxy-hemoglobin concentration, cerebral tissue deoxy-hemoglobin concentration, cerebral tissue total hemoglobin concentration, stroke volume, heart rate, and finger pulse oxygen saturation (SpO₂) were continuously monitored and recorded at least every 60 s throughout the study period.

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Demographics were analyzed to ensure age, gender, height, weight, and body mass index were not significantly different between propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane groups. This was done using both unpaired Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney test for quantitative variables, and both Pearson’s chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test for qualitative variables. Both unpaired Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney test were used to analyze the difference of the changes in SctO₂ (from ETCO₂ of 55 to 25 mmHg) between propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane groups. P-values reported are agreeable with both parametric and nonparametric analyses when applicable. A repeated measures general linear model (SPSS Version 20.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to determine the significance of hypocapnia, normocapnia, and hypercapnia on each physiologic parameter. Because our data are longitudinal, linear mixed-effects models were used to test whether there is a significant correlation between a measured variable and its explanatory variables. Specifically, we first fit a linear mixed-effects model with random effects for both intercept and slope; we then fit a reduced model with the slope removed. The significance of a correlation was determined by the likelihood ratio.
A test that compares the likelihood of two models. A similar procedure was used to test the significance of a particular variant or a particular set of variants in our multivariate analysis. P-values less than 0.05 were regarded as significant. The statistical analysis was conducted using the R package (http://cran.r-project.org/).

Results

Twenty-six patients (n = 13 for both propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane groups) were included in data analysis from a total of 28 patients recruited. One patient was excluded because of incomplete CO data; the other was due to unanticipated surgical position change. Patient’s demographic and surgery data are summarized in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, gender, height, weight, and body mass index between propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane groups.

The infusion rates of propofol and remifentanil were 92 ± 3 mcg/kg/min and 0.4 ± 0.2 mcg/kg/min, respectively. The end-tidal sevoflurane level was 1.9 ± 0.4%. The hyperventilation protocol caused a continuous and consistent change in both minute ventilation and ETCO2 from hypoventilation (ETCO2 = 55 mmHg) to hyperventilation (ETCO2 = 25 mmHg) in both propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane groups. The time spent for the full range of change was 36 ± 7 min for both groups. The physiological data were summarized in Table 2 (propofol-remifentanil group) and Table 3 (sevoflurane group).

Throughout the stepwise increase in minute ventilation, SctO2 gradually decreased from 78 ± 4% to 69 ± 5% (Δ = −9 ± 4%) in the propofol-remifentanil group (Fig. 1A) and from 81 ± 5% to 71 ± 7% (Δ = −10 ± 3%) in the sevoflurane group (Fig. 1B). Even though the decreases in SctO2 from hypoventilation to hyperventilation were significant in both groups (P < 0.001, Tables 2 and 3), the absolute decreases in SctO2 were not significantly different between these two groups (P = 0.5, −9 ± 4% vs. −10 ± 3%). Minute ventilation correlated significantly with ETCO2 in both anesthetic groups (P < 0.001). Stepwise hyperventilation caused a consistent increase in MAP (Fig. 1C) and a consistent decrease in CO (Fig. 1E) in the propofol-remifentanil, but not the sevoflurane (Fig. 1D and F), group. Minute ventilation and BIS were not significantly correlated in both propofol-remifentanil (P = 0.7) and sevoflurane (P = 0.7) groups.

SctO2 correlated significantly with ETCO2 in both propofol-remifentanil (Fig. 2A) and sevoflurane (Fig. 2B) groups. SctO2 also correlated significantly with both MAP (Fig. 2C) and CO (Fig. 2E) in the propofol-remifentanil, but not the sevoflurane (Fig. 2D and F), group. Further multivariate analysis of the propofol-remifentanil group revealed the following: When ETCO2 and CO are taken into account, MAP is not significant (P = 0.3); when ETCO2 and MAP are taken into account, CO is significant (P < 0.001); and when ETCO2 is taken into account only, MAP and CO jointly are significant (P < 0.001).

Discussion

This study showed that stepwise hyperventilation, from ETCO2 = 55 mmHg to ETCO2 = 25 mmHg,
caused a progressive decrease in SctO₂ in both propofol-remifentanil (ΔSctO₂ = −9%) and sevoflurane (ΔSctO₂ = −10%) anesthetized patients. As anticipated, SctO₂ correlated significantly with both minute ventilation and ETCO₂ in both groups. However, it also correlated significantly with MAP and CO during propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane, anesthesia. This finding is in concordance with the result that the stepwise hyperventilation in this study also caused a consistent increase in MAP and a consistent decrease in CO in propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane, anesthetized patients.

We previously showed that hyperventilation correlated with a higher SctO₂ and hyperventilation correlated with a lower SctO₂ in propofol-remifentanil anesthetized patients.⁵,⁶ Even though hyperventilation-induced hypoxemia may have played a major role in the SctO₂ decrement, the full extent of its influence (magnitude and mechanism) remained unclear. The mechanism responsible for the hyperventilation-induced decrease in SctO₂ has both theoretical and practical implications and needs to be carefully considered.

Cerebral oximetry based on frequency-domain NIRS technology quantitatively measures oxy-
deoxy-hemoglobin concentrations in pooled cerebral blood. A decrease in NIRS-measured SctO2 is attributed to one or a combination of the following mechanisms: (1) increased cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen; (2) decreased oxygen delivery to the brain; and (3) decreased arterial and/or increased venous blood contribution(s) to NIRS measurement. Mechanism 1 is unlikely to be true because this study was conducted during a stable intraoperative period in anesthetized patients. Moreover, the BIS
measurement remained stable throughout the study period.

Mechanism 2, decreased oxygen delivery to the brain, is most likely the major cause of the observed decrease in SctO₂. Oxygen delivery to the brain must have been decreased because of the decrease in CBF as a consequence of the hyperventilation-induced hypocapnia. However, the potential contri-
bution from hyperventilation-associated systemic hemodynamic changes cannot be ignored. Our data showed that stepwise hyperventilation caused a consistent increase in MAP and a consistent decrease in CO during propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane, anesthesia. According to cerebral autoregulation, an increased MAP will cause an increase in CBF if the cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) is below the lower limit or if the autoregulatory mechanism is impaired; on the other hand, an increased MAP will not cause any change in CBF if the CPP is above the lower limit (and below the upper limit) and the autoregulatory mechanism is intact. Therefore, the increased MAP during propofol-remifentanil anesthesia in this study, no matter the resultant CPP is below or above the lower limit of cerebral autoregulation, is unlikely to have caused a decrease in CBF and contributed to the decrease in oxygen delivery to the brain (mechanism 2). However, the decreased CO during propofol-remifentanil anesthesia in this study may have contributed to the decrease in SctO₂ due to a decrease in oxygen delivery to the brain because it has been previously shown that a decrease in CO can cause a decrease in CBF. Additionally, our published data have already demonstrated that SctO₂ decreases when CO is decreased, and there is a significant correlation between the decreases in SctO₂ and CO, after phenylephrine bolus treatment.

Interestingly, the increase in MAP and decrease in CO throughout stepwise hyperventilation only occurred in patients anesthetized with propofol-remifentanil, but not sevoflurane. Our results imply that the systemic hemodynamic changes are due to an increased systemic vascular resistance (SVR) during propofol-remifentanil anesthesia (Table 2). However, it is not clear why the effects of hyperventilation on SVR during propofol-remifentanil or sevoflurane anesthesia are different. It is also difficult to quantify or apportion the contributions from the decrease in CO₂ vs. the decrease in CO to the SctO₂ decrement in the propofol-remifentanil group. If the decrease in CO had made a major contribution to the decrease in SctO₂ during hyperventilation, we would have seen a lesser decrease in SctO₂ during sevoflurane anesthesia than propofol-remifentanil anesthesia because the former did not cause a decrease in CO. However, the decreases in SctO₂ are actually comparable between sevoflurane (= 10%) and propofol-remifentanil (= 9%). Therefore, we speculate that the contribution of the CO decrement to the decrease in SctO₂ during hyperventilation is minor under propofol-remifentanil anesthesia.

Mechanism 3, decreased arterial and/or increased venous blood contribution(s) to NIRS measurement, is also a possible contributor to the observed decrease in SctO₂. A decreased arterial blood contribution to NIRS measurement, and thus a decrease in SctO₂, may have occurred if hypocapnia-mediated cerebral vasoconstriction occurs mainly at the arteriolar vascular bed. There is evidence supporting this mechanism using positron emission tomography in awake volunteers. Moreover, cerebral vasoconstriction must have occurred when MAP is progressively increased by stepwise hyperventilation during propofol-remifentanil anesthesia in order to maintain a constant CBF according to cerebral autoregulation. Therefore, a decreased arterial blood contribution to NIRS measurement may have also occurred if the autoregulatory vasoconstriction takes place primarily at the arterial/arteriolar vascular bed. It is worthwhile to emphasize that the decreased arterial blood contribution secondary to autoregulatory vasoconstriction does not occur during sevoflurane anesthesia because hyperventilation does not cause a consistent MAP increase in sevoflurane-anesthetized patients. Nonetheless, the contribution of the increased MAP to the decreased SctO₂ due to a decreased arterial blood contribution to NIRS measurement must be trivial, if any, because the arterial blood is a very small portion of the pooled cerebral blood targeted by NIRS.

We did not measure jugular bulb oxygen saturation (SjO₂) in this study. Therefore, we cannot tell how SjO₂ would be decreased by stepwise hyperventilation. SjO₂ measures cerebral venous blood and is regarded as an invasive procedure. In contrast, SctO₂ measures an admixture of arterial, capillary, and venous blood and is regarded as a noninvasive technology. It is thus not surprising to see that the normal range of SjO₂ values is much lower than SctO₂ because of the lack of arterial blood contribution. The poor agreement between changes in SjO₂ and SctO₂ in various clinical situations may also be mainly caused by the distinct targets (venous vs. mixed blood) being measured by SjO₂ and SctO₂. In addition, we did not perform a power analysis at the outset of the study. As statistical power depends highly on sample size, the insignificant results in sevoflurane patients (correlations between SctO₂ and MAP or CO) could be due to the lack of statistical power of our current sample size. For example, using the effect size estimated from our data, the power for detecting a significant correlation between CO and minute ventilation in sevoflurane
would increase from 18% to 90% if we increase the sample size from 13 to 130. However, Fig. 2F shows that the effect is unlikely to be clinically significant despite the fact that statistical significance could occur if we increase our sample size. Another limit was that our study was not randomized between propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane anesthesia. This was due to that fact that, at the outset of the study, we were mainly aiming at a mechanistic exploration of the effects of progressive hyperventilation, not a comparison between different agents. Even though the demographic characters were comparable between the two groups, randomization would have improved the quality of the study.

Clinical usage of cerebral oximetry based on NIRS technology is gaining popularity because of its potential value in improving patient’s outcome.18 Understanding the technical principles and the physiologic mechanisms behind the measured number is crucial for its appropriate clinical application. Among all factors which can affect SctO2 measurement, ventilation adjustments are one of the most common. To the best of our knowledge, this measurement, ventilation adjustments are one of the classification. Among all factors which can affect SctO2 number is crucial for its appropriate clinical application. Even though the demographic characters were comparable between the two groups, randomization would have improved the quality of the study.

In summary, this study shows that the main mechanism responsible for hyperventilation-induced decrease in SctO2 is hypocapnia in both propofol-remifentanil and sevoflurane-anesthetized patients. Hyperventilation causes a consistent increase in MAP and a consistent decrease in CO during propofol-remifentanil, not sevoflurane, anesthesia. It is possible that hyperventilation-induced increase in MAP and decrease in CO may both have contributed to the decrease in SctO2 based on different mechanisms; however, the contribution is most likely of small magnitude. The different responses of MAP and CO to stepwise hyperventilation during propofol-remifentanil or sevoflurane anesthesia may be due to their distinctive effects on SVR; however, the underlying mechanism is unknown.
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